

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,203	03/10/2004	Phil Stewart	420624 4179	
30954 LATHROP &	7590 09/05/2007 CAGE I C		EXAMINER	
2345 GRAND			CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E	
SUITE 2800 KANSAS CITY, MO 64108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/797,203	STEWART, PHIL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeanette E. Chapman	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	ine 2007.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Fxaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, (-, -, (-,				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 3635

. is .

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11, 16, 19-22, 24-25, 33-39 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clary.

Clary discloses a form or molded article 14 which fits over a surface of an existing tile 10. The article or decorative cap 14 includes:

- A first surface 22
- A second surface 54, oppositely disposed from each other
- The first and the second surface and including at least one edge defined by the convergence of the second surface toward the first
- The at least one edge configured for conforming with a corresponding edge of the tile 10
- A mastic adhesive in communication with the second surface; see column 3 lines
 12-18; thus meeting the limitations of claim 11;
- The molded article is selected from the group consisting of a plastic article;
 column 3, lines 20-25;
- The material of the tile has been considered immaterial since applicant is not
 positively claiming the tile and especially not claiming the combination of the tile
 and the tile cap; further Clary leaves the possibility of the types of materials open

to the particular tile of use. One of ordinary skill in the art would have selected any material known in the art and suitably combined with the tile cap of Clary.

Such a selection is well within the scope of Clary.

- Though claim 19 is a product by process limitation, the tile cap is applied a a surface without grout
- The tile cap has a trimmable knife edge 36; since the material is a compressible plastic the same is obviously trimmable.
- The method steps are met by Cary as the same provides the above structure
- Cary also shows the tile cap positioned over the existing tile

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-15, 23, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clary in view of Zinbarg (5946869).

Further, the type of adhesive is obviously not critical to the invention in view of the fact that applicant has claimed every type of adhesive known in the art. Given the lack of criticality and relevancy, one of ordinary skill will have been able to select any adhesive that would function as intended. Nevertheless, Zinbarg discloses a plastic material over a panel employing double sided foam tape. Zinbarg also suggest the use of other known adhesives. See column 4, lines 1-35. It would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/797,203 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

employ any adhesive suitable for use such as the double sided foam tape which prevents marring of the panel surface.

Claims 28-29, 31, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clary in view of Stoneburner (3359574) and Zinbarg (5946869)

The base reference has been considered as described above and the secondary references are considered and applied as described above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chapman E. Jeanette whose telephone number is 571-272-6841. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-thursday, 8:30-6:00, every fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD CHILCOT can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,203

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) er §71-272-1080.

PEANETTE CHAPMAN PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

ART UNIT 3635